App. F. IX-4

1936.
(Muzrai

File No. 318-36
Serial Nos. 1—4.

Previous References
Mus 57 -24-
484 -19-1-5-
611 -19-6-7
Letter References
L.1360-19.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for August 37.

SUBJECT.

Appointment of Jatra realisation of
of Sri Vallabharaya Swami Temple
at Varamahalu Bangalore District
between Sri Vallabharaya Swamy & Eswara temples at
Ch II

To. The Rev. Com
" Commissioner
" D.C. Bangalore
" Pr. Sec. Board Bangalore

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—2.8.36

No. L.9.13-B-37.

Passing orders sanctioning proposals

6/12/37

G. R. S. APP F. III—1] 1936-3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Home)

NOTES.

File No. 318-36

FILE HEADING.

Appointment of Indian contractor to supply Forest Timber

FROM

The Director Forest Survey

No. C K 2171/35 Dated 10.10.35 Received 21.10.

Diary No. 411	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

With reference to G.O. dated 22.2.1921 requesting orders on the proposal to increase Forest fees in Vadamalpuram & proposal to fix the fees for the term of years, 1936-37 to 1940-41.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In the Govt order dated 23.2.1921, Sanction was accorded to the levy of fees at one anna per head of cattle at the Jatha held in 1921, as a temporary measure ~~subject to~~ on the Jodidar agreeing formally in writing to the same subject to the stipulation made by him. The words in brackets are taken from the Members

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 02552—GPB—5,000—10-7-30

orders at para 5-3 of the notes in Memy collection 411-19-5. These books were not inspected in the G.O. issued on 23.2.1931 and the reason for it is not known. The orders contained in those words indicate the proportion of apportionment of the income from the Taka collections viz, that 2/3 should be utilised for Nityakatla and Hatchukatla expenses of the Sri Vallabharaya Swami temple and that 1/3 should be spent at the Eshwara temple at Vasanthpur. Subsequently in the G.O. dated 30.1.1922 sanction was accorded to continue the arrangement sanctioned in the G.O. dated 23.2.1921 during the year 1922 also.

From the District Board President's letter under disposal it is gathered that the levy of a fee of one anna per head of cattle at the Taka has been in force till now and the authority for the same is not known. The Tahsildar petitioner Mr. Linga Gowda has stated that he had the renewal of the Taka collections fees as he liked down to 1933 in pursuance of an understanding between his late father and Govt in the year 1921 and he has requested payment of one third of the Taka income

Jakta Sealisation Vasanthan 411

General and Revenue Secretariat — Hindu — File No. — of 193 (. — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

from the District Board who have taken up the Jakta management since 1934-35. There is no reference here to support this contention of the petitioner.

It is reported that the Amildar had in his yadi dated 12.5.1936 requested that in accordance with the G.O. dated 23.2.192, one-third of the Jakta Sealisations for 1934-35 and 1935-36 might be given to the petitioner. The G.O. in question has never authorised payment of the Jakta Sealisations to the Amildar but only to the Ishwara temple. How the Amildar has made the reserve on the strength of the G.O. is not clear.

5/ If approved the letter under disposal will be sent to the M.C for a detailed report at an early date, and the petitioner's request considered on receipt of that report

4/11 — 5.11.36

6 The M.C may be requested to send a detailed report on this connection.

6/11/36

GENERAL SECRETARY. 6 NOV. 1936 103

Gen (Hindu) 7 Yes put up with 411, & urgent. 11.11.36

7 Submitted with a draft to the M.C

11/11 — M.11.36.

Sno 11/11/-

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—S 9 36

4) Encroachment Regularisation

File No. 318 of 193 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

2

Pers in 110.12.36

Information called for from the M.C on 11.11.1936, has not yet been received. Subd for orders with a draft a reminder

1st inst.

3rd

PIG SECTION
11 DEC 1936
GEN. & REV. SECTT.

Letter no HC 29/36-37 dt 1.3.1937 from 3
The Muzrai Commissioner stating that he agrees
with the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore dt
in his view that the claim of Smt Linge Gowda
The Jodidar of Vasanthapura Bangalore Taluk on
behalf of the Edavanatte to a Third of the

Serial No.

Cattle fee Collections is reasonable

(Reported in page 22 of the Govt Gazette).

1ª The temple of Sri Vallabha raya Swamy at Vasanthapura is a muzrai Institution enjoying a Cash grant of Rs 153 5-0 p.a. It is in connection with this institution the Jatra is held annually whereat the levy of Cattle fee is enforced vide G.O. No 2932 dated 411-19-5 of 23.2.1921.

1ª As stated by the Pd. Asst Bangalore the order refd to above only directs the rate of the levy at which the Cattle fee during the Jatra is levied and no orders are passed re: 1/3 of the Jatra Collections being paid to Pujaris of Sri Ishwara temple at the Place — a private institution — As the subject of the Para 2 of the order refd to above the following words are purposely used :—

"Pending further Consideration of the Jatra. From the subsequent file Cumbermarked on muzrai Circ. 411-19-5 it is seen that no definite orders were passed in regard to the disposal of these Monies by the Then Joint Secy Mr Shamasastry As the allegations stand these, it will be seen that

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

W D 0417—C.P.B—30,000—5 3 36

Mysore File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

have Since
Mysore Notice Board 1908 the Jatra Conditions to the ...
Since ... Whether the
Proposal made vide Cy 34-5 is in order is
a Matter for Consideration.

The Conditions in re: the holding of the
Jatras have changed Since: Vide Pages
382-83-4 etc of the Revised Mysore Manual
The Dt Bds Control the Cattle Shows and
Fairs - Vide Rules in this Connection
issued vide Govt Order No. L 177 Ag dt 15 6-33-3
Cy 7.9.33; the Mysore Institutions in
Connection with which the Jatras
are held is entitled to 60 per
Cent of the Jatra Collections while
the Dt Bd obtains 40 per Cent
in Connection with Sanitary Etc
Arrangements they make during
the Jatra time: Vasanthapura
Jatra also is subject to the above
Conditions: in these Circumstances
whether the Govt order Cy 1921 is Strictly
Applicable is a Matter for Consideration.
If T. Jadidar threatens that he would
not allow Jatra Cattle to remain on
the Lands belonging to the Devasthana;

Page 382 of the Revised Mysore Manual

8(3) Jatra - Collections — Sivakabharaya —
Branch Supdt: V. Vasanthabai —
— re Collection of Jatra Tolls &c.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mis File No. — of 1936. — [App. F. III—2

Serial No

It is respectfully submitted that it is left to the D.B. to immediately arrange for the acqn of such of the lands as is required for Jatra purposes; it is the bounden duty of the D.B. to conduct the Jatra & the 3/4 of the Jatra Collections for the benefit of Sri Vallabharaya Swamy Temples & accordingly the sum is realisable from the sale of manure that may accumulate on the Jatra grounds — If agreed the file will be refd to G.O. & M.C. for favour of opinion in consultation with the D.B. Bangalore and subsequently suitable proposals in the matter will be submitted for orders —

Gs 23/4 17 All cattle shows and fairs are organized and controlled by the District Board. (Vide G.O. No. L.1779 dated 7th Sept. 1933 quoted on L.B. 65-33-3 pages 382-84 of the Mysore Manual). It is presumably in accordance with this order that the District Board, Bangalore has been conducting the cattle fair at Vasanthapura, Bangalore taluk since 1934-35. According to the G.O. of

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0417 -GPB—30,000 8 9 36

Serial No.

7th Sept. 1926 — the district board concerned has to pay at least 60 per cent of the gross realizations from the fair to the Muzrai institution concerned — i.e. Muzrai Comr. and the Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dt., report and that nothing has been paid out of the realizations at the fair to the Muzrai institution viz., the temple of Sowmya Devara since 1934-35 when the management of the fair vested in the District Board. The District Board states that there are no orders of Govt. have been passed about the apportionment of the proceeds of the fair. G.O. No. 2432-4 Muzy. 411-19-5 dated 23rd Sept. 1921 has left the question of apportionment of the proceeds of the sale unsettled, although it permits the levy of a fee of one anna per head of cattle at the fairs held after the issue of the G.O. The levy was permitted during the fair held in 1922 also. (Vide G.O. No. 4056-8 Muzy. 411-19-8 dated. 30th Janry. 1922). It is therefore a point for consideration whether the district board is bound to pay more than 60 per cent of the gross realizations to the two Muzrai institutions, who were

P. 21. 21.

Serial No.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 1-MAY 1937 1883

getting the future proceeds of the fair in the absence of specific orders of Govt. amending the G.O. of 7th Sept. 1933 referred to above.

30.4.37.

18 Will Assistant please note on the case?

14/1

19 The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, in his letter dated 10th February 1937 to the Muzrai Commissioner states that the levy of fees on cattle assembling at the jatra at Vasanthpur was sanctioned by Govt. in their order dated 23rd Feb.1921 as a temporary measure for that year's jatra, and it was extended for one more year in G.O. dated 30th Jan. 1922. In this connection reference is invited to the statement of Mr. Shame Gowda, dated 27th Jan.1920 recorded by the Muzrai Secretary, enclosed in Muz. file No.411-19 stating that he is the sole Jodidar of Jodi Vasanthpur village in which the temple is situated and that he has no objection to the levy of the fees on the following conditions:

(1) That he should be given a predominant voice in the management of the affairs of Sri Vallabharaya-swami temple.

Z

N

M

V

WD 0417 -GPB- 30,000 -8 9 36

I no auth from Jatra Collections & Tus Claim
of the Dte for a portion of the Income.

Muz. File No. of 193 6. 7

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

(2) That respectable persons should be associated with him in matters of collections and keeping accounts;

(3) That two-thirds of the income should be spent on the Nityakatle and Hetchukatle of the Sri Vallabharayaswami temple and the remaining one-third be spent for the Iswara temple built by one Shanthaveere Gowda.

2) The Muzrai Secretary in para 25 of his notes in Muz. file 411-19 has stated that the money collected may be deposited in the savings bank and the question of its distribution between Sri Vallabharayaswami and Iswara temples decided after getting a report from the Deputy Commissioner and has further noted in the margin that Mr. Shame Gowda has agreed to this condition. The Govt. Order of 23rd February 1921 is clear on this point and in para 2 of the said order it is definitely stated that pending further consideration of the subject, the levy of fees at 1 anna per head of cattle is sanctioned as a temporary measure and the Deputy Commissioner was requested to report on the popularity of the jatra and the income derived from such levy and it is clear from this order that the question of the distribution of the amount between Sri Vallabharayaswami and Iswara temples was reserved for further consideration.

2 The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, in his letter dated 24th January 1922, stated that the amount

Vasanth for Jatra Collections
& the claim of the Goddess for a portion
of the Sale -

Serial No.

realised was Rs 68-3-0 and the collections were poor as wide publicity could not be given and also that, on account of the scarcity of fodder and insufficiency of water, only 3 to 4 thousand cattle had assembled and prayed for sanction to levy the fees for the jatra to be held in the year 1922 also which was sanctioned in G.O. dated 30th Jan.1922 and the question of the distribution of the amount collected between the 2 temples seems not to have been pursued further nor the levy of the fees on the cattle made permanent.

22 As seen from the vernacular records, fees have been collected in the jatra of 1923 under the order of the Muzrai Commissioner dated 17-1-1923, and there is no G.O. or the order of the Muzrai Commissioner permitting the levy of the fees from 1923 onwards.

23 The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist. in his letter dated 10th Feb.1937 states that fees are perhaps being levied on the authority of the G.O. and the order of the M.C., though from the records it cannot be made out whether specific orders were obtained for levying the fees on a permanent basis in every jatra.

24 Reference is also invited to the order of the S.D.O., Bangalore, dated 8th May 1936 in which he states that according to G.O. dated 23rd February 1921, realisation from the jatra sale has to be divided between

WD 0417—GPB—80 000—8 9 36

Vacanth pm Jatra realisations
& the claim of Mr Linge Gowda to a share in the same.

File No. of 1936

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Sri Vallabharayaswami temple and Iswara temple in the proportion 2 to 1, that two-thirds should go to the former temple and one-third to the latter and as Iswara temple is a private institution under the management of Mr. Lingegowda, one-third share of the collection should be paid to him for the expenses of the said temple. It is not clear how the S.D.O. Bangalore, has come to this decision as the G.O. dated 23-2-1921 is silent on this point. It is also not clear under whose orders fees have been levied from 1924 and onwards till the management of the said jatra came under the control of the District Board in the year 1934.

25 The contention of Mr. Linge Gowda is that he has not been paid one-third of the realisations since 1934 in pursuance of the statement of his father Mr.M.L.Shame Gowda. In this connection it is submitted that Govt. passed no final orders about the distribution of the realisations to Iswara temple and the contention of Mr. Linge Gowda that he must be given one-third of the collection cannot be supported nor is it clear how the District authorities have permitted its payment to Mr. Linge Gowda from 1924 onwards.

26 The points for consideration are:-

(1) As the jatra has now come under the control of the District, Board Bangalore, whether the rules

Apportionment of Jatra realisations of Vasanthapuram)

Serial No.

regulating cattle shows and fairs should not be enforced and the two institutions paid 60 per cent of the collections.

(2) Mr. Linge Gowda states that as the jatra is being held on his estate, he would prevent the same being held if one-third of the realisation is not paid to him and whether he could do so is a point on which legal opinion seems necessary.

2/21/37.

Gen Levy)

GENERAL SECRETARY. 21 MAY 1937 6

27) The temples are in an Inam village. The levy of fees was first adopted in consultation with the Inamdar. The conditions he proposed should be considered as more or less accepted then.

The MC's opinion may be accepted and the jatra levies continued, the collection being divided in the usual proportion (40 and 60 %) between the D.B.'s and the institutions and the 60% shared between the Sri Vallabharayaswami temple and Sri Iswara Temple in the proportion of 2 and 1 as previously stipulated.

28) The share meant for the Uppinangadi temple

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—5 9 37

Vacant plot Jaffna Collectorate and Declaration of Boundaries for a portion thereof.

General and Revenue Secretariat

May File No. of 193 . /

Serial No.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. JUNE 1937 NO. 916.

maybe paid to the Kurunde for upkeep of the temple. He may be required to keep accounts for the amount recd and make them available for inspection by officers of Govt in the Revenue Kurunai depts.

GAO 22/6/37.

MW 22.6.37

This Secretary 9034 23.6.37

Secretary

NLL 23/6/37

First Member

JPR 24/6 GAO 24/6

Rec'd 25/6

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT AGENT JAFFNA 24 JUNE 1937 No. 6576

Submitted with a draft G.O. Sanctd.

Please put up typed copy of revised draft G.O. for approval.

29/6/37

TYPING SECTION 29 JUN 1937

Revised typed draft G.O. is submitted.

In this connection reference is invited relating to cattle fairs to Rule 7(a) of the Rules issued with G.O.

Secretariat

Serial No.

dated 7th September 1933 for regulating cattle Shows and Fairs under the control of district Boards. In the present case, the levy of fees at one anna per head of cattle is proposed to be continued in future years also. But under the Rule 7(a) of the Rules referred to above the district Board Bangalore have a discretion to levy fees not exceeding 8 annas per head of cattle. It is therefore for consideration if the district Board should not be consulted on the question whether they should be deprived of the discretion to raise the fees vested in them under the Rules referred to, above in the case of the cattle Fair held at Vasanthpur. It is nearly four years since the Cattle Fair in question came under the control of the Bangalore district Board who could have got the scale approved by Govt in 1921, raised as they pleased, if they really wanted to do so. But the fact that they have allowed the existing scale to continue even after the Fair came under their control, may go to show that they are not keen on enhancing the fees. scale of fees prescribed in the existing Rules

Seems necessary 2/3/61.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 1- JULY 1937 3771

3) References : para 34 Index

Draft S.O for approval.

2/3/61.

Govt Orders

Done as revised Dw 9/8/37 Dw 9/8/37

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0417—GPB—80,000—8 9 36

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
411
21. OCT. 1936
BANGALORE

L R C - 36 - 1

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD, BANGALORE.

No. CR 234/36 Dated, Bangalore, 10th Octr. 1936.

enc

MG 318-36-1 1798

From

H. R. GURUV REDDY, Esq., B A., LL B.,
President, District Board,
BANGALORE.

REV. SEC.

To

M. Venkatanaranappa, Esqr, B. A.,
Secretary to
The Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore, City.

C2 6919 11-10-36 OCT 1936

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk has in his Yadi No. Muz. C. 425/34-35 dated the 12th May 1936 requested that in accordance with Government Order No. 2932-4/Muz. 411-19-5 dated 23rd February 1921, one third of the Jatra realisations of Vasanthapura for 1934-35 and 1935-36 might be given to Mr. Linge Gowda, Jodidar of the village. But the Government order referred to directs only the levy of fee at one anna per head of Cattle brought into the Jatra and no orders have been passed about the apportionment of the proceeds.

Mr. Linge Gowda, the Jodidar has presented a petition dated the 8th instant stating he was "the owner of the Jatra collecting fees as he willed prior to 1933" in pursuance of of an understanding between his late father and Government in the year 1921 and requests payment of one-third of the Jatra income from the District Board who have taken up the management since 1934-35. He has threatened in his petition that if the District Board fail to pay the one-third realisation for 1934-35 and 1935-36, he would refuse to allow the Jatra to be held in his estate and would prevent it also.

In forwarding herewith a copy of the petition, I request you to be so good as to obtain oraers of Government

Government thereon inasmuch as the Jatra is fast approaching.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

3281
13/10 D. B. 41 /36-37
Memo.
Forwarded with compliments

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Petition dated the 8th October 1936 from Mr. Linge Gowda, to the President, District Board, Bangalore.

I have noted your order on the requisition of the Amildar, Bangalore to pay me 1/3rd of Jatra realisation of Vasanthapur for 1934-35 and 1935-36.

In this connection I wish to state that I was the owner of the Jatra collecting fees as I willed prior to 1933 in pursuance of an understanding between Mr. M. L. Thombe Gowda, my late father and Government in the year 1921. The District Board are running the Jatra since 1934-35 but have not paid me the one-third of the realisations as usual.

The President, District Board asked me to produce the Government Order authorising payment to me. The arrangement between me and Government is the only order that I can refer to you.

If the District Board fails to pay the one-third realisation for 1934-35 and 1935-36 I refuse to allow the jatra to be held on my Estate and will prevent it also.
I request you to take further action in the matter and comply with my request.

'Copy'

10/10

President,
District Board.

URGENT.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 11th November *193* 6.

No. 667 Rev 2
Muz 318-36-2

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith letter (in original for kind return) No. C.R.234/35-36 dated 10th October 1936 from the President, District Board, Bangalore, regarding the payment of one-third of the realisations in the Jatra at Vasanthpur village, Bangalore Taluk to the Jodidar of the village, Mr.M.S.Linge Gowda for the years 1934-35 and 1935-36, I am directed to request you to be so good as to submit a detailed report in the matter with the connected records.

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Shiv Rajan Iyer

For General Secretary to Government.

TSS.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Urgent

Section rms

File No. 318-36

Serial No. 2

No. 667 Rev 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

To

The M.C in Mysore

Sir,

I am forwarding herewith (in original ~~and~~ in duplicate) letter No C R 236/35-36 dated 10.10.1936 from the ~~District Board~~ President, District Board Bangalore, regarding payment of one-third of the ~~taxes~~ Cesses in the Jatra of Vasanthpura village, Bangalore Tk to the ~~Jodidar~~ Mr M S. Linga Gowda Jodidar of the village ~~of~~ for the years 1934-35 and 1935-36.

I am directed to request you to be so good as to submit a detailed report in the matter with the connected records.

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

Yours &c

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

tne 193 .

Date of issue 11-11-36

Signed by Mir Safdar Hussain

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

9/11/36

for Revl Secy

11/11

GENL & REV. SECRETARIAT
853
3 MAR. 1937

M C 18.]
No. HC 24/36-37.
Genl. Con file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 1st March 193 7

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

Mg 318-36-3.

To

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department.

SECRETARIAT
2 - MAR. 1937

SIR,

Payment of one-third realizations of Jatra of Sri Vallabharayaswami Temple at Vasanthapur to Jodidar.

With reference to your letter No.607/Muz. dated 11-11-1936 calling for a report with connected records on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.C3-1511/36-37 dated 10th February 1937 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, which explains the facts of the case.

2. From the copy of G.O.No.2932-4/Muz.411-19-5 dated 23-2-1921 (enclosed in the records), it has to be presumed that permission to the levy of fee at one anna per head of cattle was granted, subject to the conditions stipulated by the Jodidar and referred to in the said Government Order. This has been acted upon and one-third of the realizations was being paid to the Jodidar on behalf of the Eswara temple up to end of 1933-34. It seems that this claim cannot now be denied.

3. Mr. Lingegowda has complained that the amount has not been paid to him for 1934-35 and 1935-36 ever since the management of the jatra was vested in the District Board. The Deputy Commissioner reports that Mr. Lingegowda's claim on behalf of the Eswara temple, which is a private institution under his management, to a third of the cattle-fee collections, is reasonable.

4. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner.

5. The file of connected papers on the subject is ----------

Immediate.

Letter No.C3-1511/36-37 dated 10-2-37 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your Memo No.M.C.9/36-37 dated 19-11-1936 calling for a report regarding the payment of one-third of the Jatra realisations in the Vasanthapur Sri Vallalharayaswami Jatra in Bangalore Taluk, to the Jodidar of the village, Mr. M. S. Ningegowda, I have the honor to forward herewith the records received with Memo No. 2-741/36-37 dated 30-12-1936 from the Sub Division Officer, Bangalore, and to state as follows:-

2. The levy of fees on cattle assembling at the Jatra at Vasanthapur was first sanctioned by Government in their order No.2932-4/Muz.411-19-5 dated 23-2-1921 as a temporary measure for that year's Jatra in the first instance. At the time of passing this order, Mr. M. A. Shama Gowda, Jodida of the village, appears to have consented to the levy of the fees subject, among others, to the condition that 2/3rds of the income should be spent for the Nityaarathe and Hetchuarathe expenses at the Sri Vallalharayaswami temple and the remaining 1/3rd at the Sri Eswara temple in the same village. Sanction to the levy of fees was renewed by Government and the Muzrai Commissioner during the two succeeding years subject to the same conditions. The fees are, perhaps, being levied on the authority of these orders though from the records now received, it cannot be made out whether the said order were obtained for levying the fees as a permanent measure in every Jatra.

3. The Sri Eswara temple in question is reported to be a private temple in the management of the Jodidar and its share of the cattle fees was until 1934-35 being paid to the Jodidar on behalf of the temple. Mr. Ningegowda has complained that the amount has not been paid to him for the last two years, viz., 1934-35 and 1935-36 i.e., ever since the management of the Jatra was vested in the District Board.

4. In the circumstances explained, Mr. Ningegowda's claim on behalf of the Sri Eswara temple to a third of the cattle fees collections is reasonable.

5. The enclosures received with your Memo are herewith returned.

Copy

Ghallikarjunaiah

for Muzrai Commissioner.

klw